IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.B.KOSHY

WEDNESDAY, THE 17TH JANUARY 2007 / 27TH PAUSHA 1928

RP.No. 1146 of 2006(F)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN CRP.1123/1996
.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/REVISION PETITIONER/APPELLANT

N.K.SATHYABHAMA,
D/O.SANKARAN, RESIDING AT MULAMUKKOIL HOUSE,
KERALADESAPURAM AMSOM, DESOM,
TIRUR TALUK, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.M.P.KRISHNAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS: DEFENDANTS
----

1. **K.P.RAJARAM, S/O.GOVINDAN,**
   PROFESSOR, AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY,
   VELLANIKKARA, TRICHUR DISTRICT.

2. **K.P.HARIRAM, S/O.GOVINDAN,**
   UNITED COMMERCIAL BANK, KOZHIKODE.

3. **NIRMALA MUKUNDAN,**
   D/O.GOVINDAN, RESIDING AT "MUKUND",
   PANTHALAYANI, QUILANDY.

4. **VIMALA, D/O.GOVINDAN,**
   RESIDING AT KANAKALAYAM,
   PANTHALAYANI, QUILANDY.

5. **N.K.JANARDHANAN, (DIED),**
   S/O.N.K.SANKARAN, SREE DURGA,
   PANTHALAYANI, QUILANDY.

6. **N.K.SADASIVAN, S/O.N.K.SANKARAN,**
   RESIDING AT VISWANATHA SADANAM,
   MOKERI, PANOOR, KANNUR DISTRICT.

**7. N.K.SAVITHRI, D/O.N.K.SANKARAN,
RESIDING AT W/O.SUKUMARAN,
KUNIL HOUSE, TELLICHERY.**

**8. N.K.CHANDRAVALLI, D/O.N.K.SANKARAN,
W/O.BHASKARAN, RESIDING AT SREERAM NIVAS,
MEETHAL PEEDIKA, DHARMADAM, TELLICHERY.**

**9. N.K.BABY SAROJAM,
D/O.N.K.SANKARAN, W/O.RAJAN,
RESIDING AT SANKAR NIVAS, VENGAD,
ANJARA KANDI, KANNUR DISTRICT.**

10. P.S.RADHA, D/O.LATE N.K.JANARDHANAN,

11. JYOTHI LAKSHMI, DO. LATE N.K.JANARDHANAN

12. SUBBALAKSHMI, D/O.LATE N.K.JANARDHANAN,

13. NAGALAKSHMI, D/O.LATE N.K.JANARDHANAN,

14. NaGARAJAN, S/O.LATE N.K.JANARDHANAN,

15. RAJALAKSHMI, D/O.LATE N.K.JANARDHANAN,

**BY ADV. SRI.N.L.KRISHNAMOORTHY
SRI.P.V.MADHAVAN NAMBIAR**

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 17/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

J.B. KOSHY, J.

C.M.Appl.No.1032 of 2006 and
R.P. No.1146 of 2006 in C.R.P. No. 1123 of 1996

Dated this the 17th day of January, 2007

Order

The C.M. Application was filed to condone the delay of 56 days and the R.P. was filed to review the order dated 5.4.2006 in C.R.P.No.1123 of 1996. The order in the C.R.P. was passed after hearing the petitioner. A review petition cannot be equated to a rehearing of the matter or an appeal proceedings. I see no ground to review the order passed in the C.R.P. Further, the reasons stated for condoning the delay are also not satisfactory. Therefore, both the delay condonation petition as well as the review petition are dismissed.

J.B.KOSHY
JUDGE

vaa

J.B. KOSHY, J.

C.M.Appl.No.1032 of 2006
and
R.P. No.1146 of 2006 in
C.R.P. No. 1123 of 1996

Order

Dated:17th January, 2007